

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT WORKBOOK



Department: Protective Services – Specialist Operations
Corporate Road Traffic Collisions Policy
Document(s) this
Equality Impact
Assessment
Relates to:
Associated
Documents:

Equality Impact Assessment

Developed By Dawn Wnukoski
 Policy Officer
Part One Yvonne Coolbear
Initial Assessment Internal Equalities Advisor
Approved By
Part Two N/A
Full Assessment
Approved By
Date Published:
Review Date:
Version Control: V1

Date Reviewed	Name and Job Title of Reviewer
[Insert date]	[Insert name and job title]

PART ONE

INITIAL EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND SIGNIFICANCE TEST

The Initial Equality Impact Assessment and Significance Test templates should be completed prior to seeking authority to proceed with the development of the following documents:

- Strategies
- Plans, including Contingency Plans
- Project Initiation Documents
- Policies and Procedures

The Initial Equality Impact Assessment and Significance Test grading will always be agreed by three people. This will give integrity and consistency to the process and will draw on the experience, knowledge and common sense judgement of more than a single individual. The following will usually be the posts involved in the process;

Policy and Procedure

- Policy or Procedure Owner or Holder
- Policy Officer
- Diversity Officer

Other Corporate Documents

- Corporate Document Owner
- Corporate Document Developer
- Diversity Officer

Advice on the types of information available to assist in the completion of the templates can be obtained from the Policy or Diversity Units.

It is recognised that there will be occasions when the knowledge and experience of the staff members involved in the Equality Impact Assessment process will be such that there may not be a need to undertake any initial research or consultation in order to complete the Initial Equality Impact Assessment. This is acceptable provided that the reasons are accurately recorded in the workbook.

INITIAL EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE

1.1 Summarise the main aim(s) or purpose of the corporate document.

Main aim(s) or purpose, including any benefits or outcomes	Date
To ensure all road traffic collisions are investigated to the highest possible standard in accordance with national guidance and local policies.	17.12.12

1.2 Identify individuals and organisations internally and externally that are likely to have an interest in, or be affected by, the corporate document. Where a review is being undertaken, if appropriate, highlight any changes to who might have an interest in the document.

Interested individuals and organisations	Date
Internal	
Police Personnel	17.12.12
External	
Other Emergency Services Partner Agencies General Public	17.12.12

1.3 Who implements the corporate document and who is responsible for the corporate document?

This includes shared documents, documents that affect contractors or other public or private bodies: for example Group 4 Security, Multi Agency Protocol or PFI.

Implemented by police personnel involved in reports/investigation of road traffic collisions. Head of Specialist Operations, Protective Services is responsible for the policy.
--

1.4 What factors could contribute or detract from the outcomes?

None relating to equality.

1.5 Are there any concerns that the corporate document could have a differential impact on any of the following groups and is there evidence to support this?

Group	Yes	No	Evidence	Date
Age		✓	Collisions are investigated in a manner that is non-discriminatory and any decisions and actions made will be based on evidence.	17.12.12
Disability		✓	“	“
Gender reassignment		✓	“	“
Marriage and civil partnership		✓	“	“
Pregnancy and maternity		✓	“	“
Race		✓	“	“
Religion or belief		✓	“	“
Sex		✓	“	“
Sexual orientation		✓	“	“
Family Status e.g. dependants or caring responsibilities		✓	“	“
Economic Status		✓	“	“

1.6 Could the differential impact identified in 1.5 above amount to there being potential for adverse impact and, if so, can this be justified on any of the following grounds:

- promoting good relations between diverse communities;
- promoting equality of opportunity;
- eliminating unlawful discrimination;
- eliminating unlawful harassment;
- encouraging participation in public life;
- addressing the specific needs of disabled people.

Protective Security Marking:	NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED
------------------------------	-------------------------

	Yes	No	Reason	Date
Is there potential for adverse impact?		✓	No evidence to suggest potential for adverse impact.	17.12.12
Can this adverse impact be justified?	N/A			

SIGNIFICANCE OF INITIAL EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Based on the information provided in the Initial Equality Impact Assessment template, assess the significance of the impact as either Low, Medium or High.

Guide to assessing significance:

Low – where the answer in respect of all eleven groups in 1.5 above is ‘no’;

Medium – where the answer in respect of between one and four groups in 1.5 above is ‘yes’. However, if the likely adverse impact on any or all of those groups is considered to be particularly significant, it may be appropriate to classify the significance as High;

High – where the answer in respect of between five and eleven groups in 1.5 above is ‘yes’ or where the likely adverse impact on one or more groups is considered particularly significant.

Low: No further assessment required and review every three years.	✓
Medium: Requires Full Equality Impact Assessment and relevant consultation in year one and bi-annual review.	
High: Requires Full Equality Impact Assessment and relevant consultation in year one and annual review.	

Equality Impact Assessment - Low Significance

Those corporate documents identified as having a low significance will be developed without the need to complete a Full Equality Impact Assessment. However, if during the course of the corporate document process equality issues are identified then the Initial Impact Assessment and Significance Test should be repeated.

Protective Security Marking:	NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED
------------------------------	-------------------------

Equality Impact Assessment – Medium and High Significance

The Full Equality Impact Assessment process will commence when approval has been given to develop the corporate document.

The Initial Equality Impact Assessment Template and Significance of Initial Equality Impact Assessment were completed by:

Name: Dawn Wnukoski
Position: Policy Officer
Date: 17.12.12

Name: Yvonne Coolbear
Position: Internal Equalities Advisor
Date: 12.09.13

Name: Supt Mike Fawcett
Position: Head of Specialist Operations
Date: 16.09.13

PART TWO**FULL EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

All questions should be considered from the perspective of all diversity strands, i.e. age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation and from the perspective of family status e.g. dependants or caring responsibilities and economic status.

2.1 Research

1. What monitoring arrangements are in place? (With new corporate documents there may be no arrangements in place, in which case go to question 2)	
2. What quantitative data has been considered?	
3. What qualitative information has been considered?	
4. Is there a public concern regarding the subject of the corporate document? If so, describe how these concerns have been identified	
5. Provide details of any changes in legislation or national guidance affecting the corporate document	
6. Summarise the findings	
7. Have gaps in the research or data been identified?	
8. Has the corporate	

Protective Security Marking:	NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED
------------------------------	-------------------------

document been amended in light of the research findings?	
9. Outline any plans for further research or data collection	

2.2 Consultation

10. Which individuals and organisations internally and externally were consulted or involved and how were they consulted or involved?	
11. Have consultees been informed of the results of consultation or involvement and by what means?	
12. Summarise the key findings or outcomes of the consultation or involvement	
13. Has the corporate document been amended in light of the consultation or involvement?	
14. Is there a need for further consultation?	
The results of consultation should be recorded in Appendix A	

2.3 Equality Analysis and Review

15. Is there any evidence that the corporate document could be perceived as discriminatory or could damage good relations between people of different groups?	
---	--

Protective Security Marking:	NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED
------------------------------	-------------------------

16. What might the negative implications of the corporate document be for the public or Constabulary staff?	
17. What might the positive implications of the corporate document be for the public or Constabulary staff?	
18. Is there any evidence that the corporate document has an adverse impact, directly or indirectly, on any members of the public or Constabulary staff?	
19. What changes, if any, have been made to the corporate document as a result of the Equality Impact Assessment to reduce adverse impact?	
20. What changes, if any, were considered but not implemented?	
21. If the potential remains for the corporate document to have a negative impact on members of one or more groups, explain why implementation is to continue and can this be justified?	
22. If your assessment is that the corporate document is likely to have an adverse impact is there an alternative means of achieving the document's aim, objective or outcome?	

23. What changes, if any, need to be made in order to minimise unjustifiable adverse impact?	
--	--

2.4 Monitoring

24. What arrangements have been made to monitor the corporate document? Please identify monitoring review dates	
--	--

2.5 Summary

25. Please provide a summary of the findings of the Equality Impact Assessment against the corporate document under each of the eleven diversity strands. This summary will be used by the Constabulary to inform the public and will become a public document.	
---	--

PART THREE**FINAL APPROVAL AND SIGN OFF****Assessment completed by:**

Name: Dawn Wnukoski
Position: Policy Officer
Date 15.08.13

Signed by Corporate Document Holder:

I am satisfied that the Road Traffic Collisions policy has been fully Equality Impact Assessed.

Name: Supt Mike Fawcett
Position: Head of Specialist Operations
Date: 16.09.13

Appendix A: Equality Impact Assessment Consultation Record

Consultee	Comment	Action
Norfolk IAG	"I have reviewed this policy and feel there are no issues regarding Equality Impact other than 1.39 (family liaison officer). It would be useful, if possible, to ensure that officer had some understanding and sensitivity of the impact on different communities. By this I mean that I would expect family liaison officers to have either extensive experience or training on way that sudden death might be responded to in different communities. It may be that this already happens."	Text added to policy: ..." establish, at an early stage of the investigation, if there are any faith, race or cultural issues to ensure the investigation is sensitive to the needs of the family"
Diversity Unit (S)	There are no diversity issues with this procedure except that there needs to be some basic guidance to remind officers of being aware of cultural issues (this could for example be an issue with a fatal or serious injury collision and liaison with the next of kin or family) and that further information on this is available on the Intranet.	Text added to policy, as above. Reference to FLO policy and Intranet will be added when joint policy and intranet available.
"	Add to FLO section? Consideration must also be given to any wishes or religious/cultural requirements of the next of kin in relation to the treatment of the body or burial of the deceased.	"
"	Suffolk current under Fatal/Life Threatening. Officers and Family Liaison Officers (FLOs) should establish, at an early stage of the investigation, if there are any faith, race or cultural issues to ensure the investigation is sensitive to the needs of the family.	"
Vulnerable People Directorate (S)	"Not sure if previously mentioned in 'old' policy as separate point but I think it would be appropriate to include a para (and perhaps box on flowchart) to ensure that relevant safeguarding referral form must be completed in event of serious injury or death of child as result of RTC. Across both counties there are requirements for police to work in partnership with other agencies, (particularly Children's Social Care) and the Local Safeguarding Children Board, and Child Death Overview Panel."	New paragraph added to policy: "A safeguarding referral form (C39d in Norfolk/Form 848 in Suffolk) should be completed by the reporting officer after serious collisions involving young people (aged 18 or under), or after the driver of a vehicle containing young people is reported for driving
Protective Security Marking:	NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED	

		offences and the children are at risk.” Note also added to relevant charts. Text agreed by Roads Policing, Norfolk VPD and Suffolk VPD.
--	--	---